

General systematic review (SR) quality criteria	Yes	No	Can't tell
Does the SR explicitly report and perform a comprehensive and reproducible literature search?			
Does the SR formulate a clearly focused question?			
Does the SR's methods section explicitly state the basis for inclusion or exclusion of primary RCTs?			
Does the SR report data from primary RCTs (e.g., size, interventions used, results from individual RCTs)			
Does the SR assess the methodological quality of primary studies, and take these into account where necessary?			
Meta-analysis: does the SR combine primary studies appropriately?			
Meta-analysis: does the SR state how results are combined statistically?			
Meta-analysis: does the SR report absolute numbers as well as appropriate summary statistics?			
Does the SR discuss the reasons for any variations/heterogeneity between individual RCTs/overall results?			
Does the SR report on the clinical relevance/importance of the results?			